

REMARKS

This is in response to the Official Action of January 29, 2009, which required an election between two Species which the Examiner held were patentably distinct. Species I was claims 2-6 and 28, while Species II involved claims 12, 22-24, 26 and 27.

The election that is made herewith is to prosecute the invention of claims 2-6 and 28, to Species I. To effect this election, the Applicant has amended independent claim 22 to depend from claim 28, and thus it is respectfully believed that all of the claims in the case, namely 2-6, 12, 22-24, 26, 27 and 28 are all now properly includable in Species I.

This election of Species is being made without traverse.

Claim 28 is believed allowable for the reason set out in the Amendment filed with the Request for Continued Examination. The arguments are incorporated herein.

Favorable action on independent claim 28 and its dependent claims is respectfully requested.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: NICKOLAS E. WESTMAN/
Nicholas E. Westman, Reg. No. 20,147
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 1400
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3244
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

NEW/rkp